## **REMARKS**

Claims 34-53 are pending in this application. Applicants have renumbered previously submitted claims 21-40 to claims 34-53. Applicants have also cancelled originally submitted claims 1-33. Applicants note that the improper numbering of the claims was based upon the Office Action mailed on January 26, 2005 where it was indicated that claims 1-20 were the only pending claims in the application. Accordingly, the improper numbering was not intentional. Also, Applicants have amended the specification as discussed herein.

The foregoing amendments are taken in the interest of expediting prosecution and there is no intention of surrendering any range of equivalents to which Applicant would otherwise be entitled in view of the prior art.

By amending the application, the Applicants do not concede that the patent coverage available to them would not extend as far as the original claim. Rather, Applicants reserve the right to file a continuation application to pursue the breadth of the claims as filed. Applicants believe that the Examiner has not made a sufficient showing of inherency of the teachings of the asserted prior art, especially given the lack of teachings in the cited references of the properties that Applicants have recited in their claims.

Further, by the present amendment, it does not follow that the amended claims have become so perfect in their description that no one could devise an equivalent. After amendment, as before, limitations in the ability to describe the present invention in language in the patent claims naturally prevent the Applicants from capturing every nuance of the invention or describing with complete precision the range of its novelty or every possible equivalent. See, Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co., 62 USPQ2d 1705 (2002). Accordingly, the foregoing amendments are made specifically in the interest of expediting prosecution and there is no intention of surrendering any range of equivalents to which Applicants would otherwise be entitled.

## Formal Matters

As a supplement to the previous response filed on April 26, 2005, Applicants have amended the specification to respond to the Examiner's concerns. The amendments to the specification are fully supported by the application as originally filed and no new matter has been added.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

In view of Applicants' amendments and remarks, the Examiner's rejections are believed to be rendered moot. Accordingly, Applicants submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and requests that the Examiner pass the case to issue at the earliest convenience. Should the Examiner have any question or wish to further discuss this application, Applicant requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned at (248) 292-2920.

If for some reason Applicants have not requested a sufficient extension and/or have not paid a sufficient fee for this response and/or for the extension necessary to prevent the abandonment of this application, please consider this as a request for an extension for the required time period and/or authorization to charge Deposit Account No. 04-1512 for any fee which may be due.

Date: <u>May 15, 2005</u>

James M. McPherson Registration No. 53,306 Dobrusin & Thennisch PC

29 W. Lawrence Street, Suite 210

Pontiac, MI 48342 248-292-2920

jmcpherson@patentco.com

Customer No. 25,215